

While not specifically addressed in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, motions to reconsider are common in federal practice. *See DIRECTV, INC. v. Hart*, 366 F.Supp.2d 315 (E.D.N.C. 2004). “A motion to reconsider is appropriate where ‘the Court has patently misunderstood a party, or has made a decision outside the adversarial issues presented to the Court by the parties, or has made an error not of reasoning but of apprehension.’” *Id.* (quoting *Harsco Corp. v. Zlotnicki*, 779 F.2d 907, 909 (3d Cir. 1985)). However, a motion to reconsider was not intended to allow a particular motion to be subject to further debate “to give an unhappy

litigant one additional chance to sway the judge.” *Remediation Products, Inc. v. Adventus America, Inc.*, 2010 WL 2572555 at *1 (W.D.N.C. 2010) (quoting *Myers v. Rigel*, 2012 WL 1759558 at *2 (S.D.Miss. May 3, 2010).

The Court finds nothing in Appellant Boyd’s motion that warrants a reconsideration of the Court’s Order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the appellant’s motion to reconsider (Doc. No. 3) is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: February 22, 2017

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Graham C. Mullen", written over a horizontal line.

Graham C. Mullen
United States District Judge

